From: <u>Stephens, Jesse</u>

To: Bcrsd

Subject: Billing RFP Proposal Update - Item I)1)a

Date: Thursday, August 14, 2025 7:45:15 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Dear Board of Trustees,

Proposals were received for our Billing Software RFP on July 30th, 2025. We had four companies respond to the proposal, and one of the respondents was deemed non-responsive due to the lack of any cost proposal information being included with the submitted proposal.

The respondents were:

- Continental Utility Solutions, Inc. (CUSI) Non-Responsive (No Pricing Information Included)
- 2. inHance Utilities
- 3. Muni-Link
- 4. Tyler Technologies

The evaluation committee reviewed the proposals, and in-line with the requirements of the RFP decided it would be in the best interest of the district to ask for a follow-up demonstration from the three responsive respondents. Those demonstrations were conducted on Friday August 8th. The committee reconvened on Tuesday, August 12th and finished scoring the proposals. The scoring determinations are included as a consideration item, and Muni-Link is the recommended offeror to negotiate a contract with.



Jesse Stephens, Facilities Engineering Manager
Boone County Regional Sewer District (BCRSD)
1314 N. 7th St., Columbia, MO 65201
C: 573.239.4025 | O: 573.443.2774
e: jstephens@bcrsd.com | w: www.bcrsd.com

BCRSD - BILLING RFP PROPOSAL EVALUATION AUGUST 2025

| VENDOR | ONBOAF COS | | ONBOARDING MATERIALS & EQUIPMENT | POST SOFTWARE COST | POST SERVICE & EQUIPMENT COST | YEAR 1 COST | YEAR 2 COST | YEAR 3 COST | TOTAL 3-YEAR COST | AWARDED COST POINTS | AWARDED EXPERIENCE & REFERENCES POINTS | AWARDED RELIABILITY POINTS | AWARDED EXPERTISE POINTS | TOTAL SCORE |
|---------|---------------|--------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| CUSI | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 0 * | 0 * | 0 * | 0 * | 0 * |
| INHANCE | \$ 86 | 300.00 | \$ 24,750.00 | - | - | \$ 111,050.00 | \$ 24,750.00 | \$ 24,750.00 | \$ 160,550.00 | 28.51 | 10.40 | 9.20 | 10.00 | 58.11 |
| MUNI | \$ 63 | 443.00 | - | - | - | \$ 63,443.00 | \$ 38,490.00 | \$ 39,837.00 | \$ 141,770.00 | 32.28 | 19.80 | 16.60 | 19.80 | 88.48 |
| TYLER | \$ 73 | 520.00 | - | - | - | \$ 73,520.00 | \$ 20,460.00 | \$ 20,460.00 | \$ 114,440.00 | 40.00 | 13.40 | 11.80 | 11.80 | 77.00 |

| EXPERIENCE | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| VENDOR | REVIEWER #1 | REVIEWER #2 | REVIEWER #3 | REVIEWER #4 | REVIEWER #5 | | | |
| CUSI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| INHANCE | 10.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | | | |
| MUNI | 20.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | | | |
| TYLER | 12.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 10.00 | | | |

| RELIABILITY | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| VENDOR | REVIEWER #1 | REVIEWER #2 | REVIEWER #3 | REVIEWER #4 | REVIEWER #5 | | | |
| CUSI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| INHANCE | 5.00 | 13.00 | 10.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | | | |
| MUNI | 20.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 15.00 | | | |
| TYLER | 12.00 | 14.00 | 10.00 | 13.00 | 10.00 | | | |

| EXPERTISE | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| VENDOR | REVIEWER #1 | REVIEWER #2 | REVIEWER #3 | REVIEWER #4 | REVIEWER #5 | | | |
| CUSI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| INHANCE | 10.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | | | |
| MUNI | 20.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | | | |
| TYLER | 14.00 | 16.00 | 10.00 | 14.00 | 5.00 | | | |

BASED ON THE EVALUATION CRITERIA, MUNI-LINK RECEIVED THE HIGHEST RANKING AND IS THE RECOMMENDED BILLING SOFTWARE SERVICE PROVIDER BY THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

CUSI did not submit any cost/pricing with their proposal and thus did not satisfy the RFP requirements. This leads to a 'Non-Responsive' proprosal.